

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/628,568	07/29/2003	Kevin Baker	742441-2	742441-2 4119	
22204	7590 09/05/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
NIXON PEABODY, LLP			TRAN, HANH VAN		
401 9TH STREET, NW SUITE 900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128			3637		
			DATE MAILED: 09/05/2006	DATE MAILED: 09/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/628,568	BAKER, KEVIN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Hanh V. Tran	3637	

	Hanh V. Tran	3637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since		
AMENDMENTS		ما اسمومونی میا فوم الاندن			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.14. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		omoliant Amendment (PTOL-324)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Anphant Amonamont	1 102 02 1).		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	Il be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:	•				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affidar	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
13. Other:	LANNA MAI				
	lanna mai				
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXA				
	TECHNOLOGY CENTER (•			

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: new issues include at least the proposed changes to claim 1, lines 2-3, of "including a top frame component, a bottom frame component and side frame components".